

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/588,425 | 06/06/2007 | Todd C. Zankel | 30610/39385A | 5100 | |
| 90849 7590 06/20/2011 Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP (Biomarin) | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 233 South Wacker Drive 6300 Willis Tower Chicago, IL 60606 | | | SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1653 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/20/2011 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mflores@bmrn.com lbigornia@bmrn.com mgbdocket@marshallip.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Nation of Abandanmant | 10/588,425 | ZANKEL ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | KAILASH SRIVASTAVA | 1653 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| ⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | lailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the expiration of the | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | nendment which places the | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| . Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| (c) I ne issue ree and publication ree, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| . The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| During a telephone interview on 06/14/2011, Ms. Ri Examiner that the instant application has been abar | | sentative Office advised the | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1657 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Petitions to review under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US Paters and Trademists Critics

Part of Paper No. 20110614

Part of Paper No. 20110614

Part of Paper No. 20110614